Prevention of the contagious spread of feline leukaemia virus and the development of leukaemia in pet cats

CLUSTERING of cases of feline lymphosarcoma (LSA) or leukaemia has been observed by veterinarians for many years1-4. The first anti-feline leukaemia virus (FeLV) serum was reported in 19695 and by 1970 a simple indirect immunofluorescent antibody (IFA) test for the detection of FeLV in the peripheral blood of infected cats had been developed. Using this test we found that 33% of the healthy pet cats exposed to cats with FeLV-associated diseases were infected with FeLV. All the cats known not to have been exposed to cats with FeLV-associated diseases were uninfected and only 0.31% of the stray cats, with an unknown history of FeLV exposure, were infected3. Once persistently viraemic with FeLV, most cats remain infected for their entire lives. These results showed conclusively that FeLV is transmitted between cats by infection or contagion (that is, horizontally), in contrast to the oncornaviruses of inbred mice which are predominantly transmitted genetically from the parents to the offspring by means of the chromosomes (that is, vertically)7.

FeLV causes four fatal diseases in pet cats. The diseases are: (1) LSA^{4,5}, (2) non-regenerative anaemia^{8,9}, (3) a panleukopaenia-like syndrome³, and (4) thymic atrophy¹⁰. FeLV is also associated with, but not yet proven to be the cause of, various myeloproliferative disorders¹¹ and foetal abortions and resorptions (F. Goldsmith and W. D. H., Jr, unpublished)^{12,13}. Healthy FeLV-infected cats have a greatly increased chance of developing one of the FeLV-related diseases or of developing secondary diseases due to the immunosuppressive effects of FeLV^{12,14}.

The spread of an infectious disease agent may be prevented by various methods. These are: (1) vaccination, (2) killing the infectious agent in the environment by disinfection, and (3) removal of either the infected sick animal, the healthy carrier host or the vector(s). In veterinary medicine, when vaccination is not available, removal of the carrier host is commonly used to prevent the spread of infectious disease agents¹⁵.

Our earlier epidemiological studies showed that FeLV is an infectious agent for pet cats³. The ultimate goal of any epidemiological study is to prevent the spread of a disease by identifying the aetiological agent so that it can be removed from the environment. We report here the successful use of an FeLV test and removal programme to prevent the spread of FeLV, and the diseases it causes, among pet cats. The FeLV test and removal programme consists of the identification and removal, by euthanasia or isolation, of FeLV-infected healthy cats from contact with uninfected cats¹⁶. The IFA test for FeLV detects FeLV gs antigens in the peripheral blood leukocytes and platelets of infected cats^{3,6} and we have previously reported that a positive IFA test result means that the cat is viraemic^{6,13}.

In most multiple cat households in this study an index FeLV test was performed on a sick or healthy cat to establish the FeLV status of the households. The index test-positive cat was removed and all the remaining healthy cats in the household were then tested for FeLV (initial test). In the programme, any exposed healthy cats which were found to be infected with FeLV were removed immediately. After the initial test of all the healthy cats, the household was quarantined so that no cats were allowed to leave and no new cats were brought into the household. After a 3-month interval a second test for FeLV was performed on all the remaining healthy cats in the household and any secondarily infected cats were removed. Cats which were FeLV uninfected in the initial test but which were found to be FeLV infected in the second test will be referred

to as secondarily infected cats in this paper. Two IFA tests for FeLV are required for exposed cats because the natural incubation period for the establishment of viraemia can be as long as 3 months, and there is a possibility that an FeLV infection, occurring just before the first test, would not be detected in that test¹³. If any healthy cats were positive in the second IFA test they were removed from the household and a third test was conducted 3 months later. When all the cats remaining in a household after the infected cats had been removed were negative in two consecutive IFA tests, conducted 3 months apart, the household was considered FeLV free. All new cats which were introduced into an FeLV-free household were tested for FeLV before they were allowed to have contact with the uninfected cats.

A total of 1,260 healthy cats, from 65 households in which cats had developed FeLV-related diseases and from 11 breeding catteries, have been studied (Table 1). In 51 of these households the test and removal programme was implemented, while in 25 households the owners chose not to implement the programme. These 25 households served as controls and were therefore compared with the 51 households in which the owners implemented the FeLV test and removal programme. A total of 847 cats were tested for FeLV in the 51 households in which the programme was implemented (Table 2). Of these 847 cats, 190 cats were found to be infected in the initial FeLV test (an initial infection rate of 22.4%) and were immediately removed from contact with the remaining 657 uninfected cats. Only 3 of these 657 uninfected healthy cats were found to be infected in the second test. In the 3-month period between the two IFA tests, 99.54% of the initially uninfected cats therefore remained uninfected (a secondary infection rate of 0.46%).

In the 25 households where the FeLV-infected healthy cats were not removed, and thus remained in continual contact with other cats in the households, a total of 413 cats were tested for FeLV and 129 were found to be infected in the initial test (an initial infection rate of 31.2%). Of the remaining 284 uninfected healthy cats in these households, 55 were found to be infected in the second test (a secondary infection rate of 19.3%) (Table 2). Thus only 80.7% of the initially uninfected cats remained uninfected in the 3-month period between the two tests. The secondary FeLV infection rate in the households in which the FeLV test and removal programme was not implemented was 42 times greater than that in households in which the programme was implemented. This difference is highly significant ($P \le 0.0001$) by the χ^2 test. Thus the natural contagious spread of FeLV between cats was effectively prevented by the FeLV test and removal programme.

We have reported previously that FeLV-infected cats have a significantly higher chance of developing one of the FeLV-related diseases³ and a much higher mortality rate than uninfected cats¹⁷. There was a marked difference in the occurrence of the disease and the mortality rate of cats living in the two household groups. The development of FeLV-related diseases was prevented in healthy cats living in the 51 households in which the test and removal programme was implemented. In contrast, in the 25 households where the programme was not implemented, 7 cats have developed LSA, 4 cats have developed FeLV non-regenerative anaemia and 13 cats have developed other FeLV-associated diseases within the 2-yr observation period (Table 3).

The natural mortality rate for the 3 healthy initially uninfected cats which became secondarily infected in those households in which the programme was implemented was not determined since the cats were euthanised immediately after they were found to be infected and thus did not have a chance to develop disease. In the 25 households in which the programme was not implemented 24 of the 55 secondarily infected cats subsequently died resulting in a mortality rate of 52%.

			Number of	Number of cats with FeLV diseases	sa	Index FeLV test*	V test*	Total cate
Household groups	Number of households	Total cats	Lymphosarcoma FeLV anaemia	FeLV anaemia	Other	Household status FeLV infected Not	status Not tested	remaining after diseased cats died
Households where FeLV-infected healthy cats were removed	51	923	42	9	28	37	144	847
Households where FeLV-infected healthy cats were not removed	25	472	37	4	8	23	2#	413 Total 1,260

*An index FeLV test was the first IFA test of a cat in a household.

*An index FeLV test was the first IFA test of a cat in a household.

*Index FeLV disease. No index tests were not performed in 10 breading catteries because no cats had developed developed FeLV diseases because hese cats had died before our study began.

*An index FeLV diseases because the breading cattery) because no cat had previously developed an FeLV disease. An index test was not performed in the other household developed an FeLV disease because this cat had died before our study began.

Table 2 Summary of the test and removal programme to prevent the contagious spread of FeLV in healthy pet cats	Initial test of healthy cats ealthy Infected Charles Initial infection Number of healthy rate cats removed	190 657 22.4% 19	129 284 31.2%
Table 2 Sumn	Number of Number of healthy Number of healthy households cats in households cats to households	847 847	413 413
	Number of households	Households where FeLV-infected healthy cats were removed 51	Households where FeLV-infected healthy cats were not removed 25

Secondary infection rate of healthy cats

0.46%

19.3%

*The second FeLV test was performed at least 3 months after the initial FeLV test.

†A secondarily infected cat is one which was FeLV uninfected in the initial test but was found to be infected in the second test.

				Table 3	Summary of disease	Table 3 Summary of disease development in household groups	hold groups				
				Q	isease development	Disease development in secondarily* FeLV-infected healthy cats	infected healthy cats			Natural mortality	Natural mortality Natural mortality
Household groups	Number of households	Number of initial test FeLV- uninfected cats	Number of initial Number of healthy test FeLV- cats which became uninfected cats secondarily infected Lymphosarcoma	Lymphosarcoma	Other FeLV†	Other non-FeLV‡ caused diseases	Euthanised	Alive	Number of cats which died naturally	rate in first test FeLV-uninfected§ healthy cats	rate in secondarily FeLV-infected healthy cats
Households where FeLV-infected healthy cats were removed	. 18	657	e	1	1	1	m.	1	1	1	1
Households where FeLV-infected healthy cats were not removed	25	284	55	7	9	п	6	22	24	8.5%	52%

*A secondarily infected cat is one which was FeLV uninfected in the initial test but was found to be infected in the second test.

14 cats with FeLV non-regenerative anaemias, 2 cats with the panleukopaemia-like syndrome.

13 cats with felic perionitis; 8 other diseases—these diseases occur frequently in FeLV-infected cats due to the immunosuppressive effects of the virus.

18 These cats subsequently became secondarily infected with FeLV.

These 24 deaths represent a natural mortality rate of 8.5% of the 284 initially FeLV-uninfected healthy cats (Table 3).

Some healthy cats living in households where the control programme was not implemented remained uninfected even though they were continually exposed to viraemic cats. To ensure that the test and removal programme, rather than FeLV neutralising antibody, was responsible for the protection of uninfected cats, cats living in selected households which implemented the programme were examined for neutralising antibody. Forty-one per cent of these cats tested had protective (≥ 1:10) neutralising antibody titres. Fifty-nine per cent of the cats thus had non-protective antibody titres and were susceptible to FeLV infection. Because of the implementation of the test and removal programme, however, these susceptible cats were not continuously exposed to FeLV and thus remained uninfected and did not develop any FeLV related diseases.

Pet cats of all ages are susceptible to FeLV infection in their natural household environments. The median age when FeLV was first detected in 49 of the secondarily infected pet cats in our study was 24.0 months. The median age at which these cats were last tested and found to be uninfected was 18.0 months. Therefore, between 18 and 24 months these adult pet cats became infected with FeLV in their household environments.

The FeLV test and removal programme is currently being used by many veterinarians to protect FeLV-uninfected cats from FeLV infection and disease development16. The diseases caused by, or associated with, FeLV can be prevented by the test and removal programme. This is significant since diseases caused by and associated with FeLV are probably the most common killer of pet cats.

The results obtained by identifying and removing the healthy inapparent FeLV-infected carrier cats show for the first time that it is possible to prevent the contagious spread of a mammalian oncornavirus in the natural environment. FeLV and the diseases it causes may ultimately be controlled by a combination of the test and removal programme and vaccination.

We thank B. Broomhead, S. Hardy, W. Khan, L. Mahoney, H. Perry, R. Rehm, T. Sancetta, A. Shipp and T. Williams for technical assistance, and Drs E. Meierhenry and S. Jongeward for clinical assistance. We also thank numerous practising veterinarians and cat owners for assisting in this study, and the National Veterinary Laboratory, Inc., Franklin Lakes, New Jersey for performing many of the IFA tests for FeLV. Supported in part by grants from the NCI, the Cancer Research Institute, Inc., the Oliver S. and Jennie R. Donaldson Charitable Trust, the Society of Memorial Sloan-Kettering Cancer Center, the Anna Fuller Fund, the Jane Coffin Childs Fund for Medical Research, and the Massachusetts and National Branches of the American Cancer Society. W. D. H., Jr and M. E. are Scholars of the Leukaemia Society of America.

> W. D. HARDY, JR A. J. McClelland E. E. ZUCKERMAN

Memorial Sloan-Kettering Cancer Center, New York, New York 10021

P. W. HESS

The Henry Bergh Memorial Hospital of the American Society for Prevention of Cruelty to Animals, New York, New York 10028

M. ESSEX

Department of Microbiology, Harvard School of Public Health, Boston, Massachusetts 02115

S. M. COTTER

Angell Memorial Animal Hospital, Boston, Massachusetts 02115

> E. G. MACEWEN A. A. HAYES

The Animal Medical Center, New York, New York 10021

Received December 29, 1975; accepted July 30, 1976.

Schneider, R., Frye, F. L., Taylor, D. O. N., and Dorn, C. R., Cancer Res., 27, 1316-1322 (1967).

1316-1322 (1967).

2 Brodey, R. S., McDonough, S., Frye, F. L., and Hardy, W. D., Jr. in Comparative Leukaemia Research (edit. by R. M. Dutcher), 333-342 (Karger, Basel, 1970).

3 Hardy, W. D., Jr. Old, L. J., Hess, P. W., Essex, M., and Cotter, S. M., Nature, 244, 266-269 (1973).

4 Jarrett, W. F. H., Crawford, E. M., Martin, W. B., and Davie, F., Nature, 202, 567-569 (1964).

5 Hardy, W. D., Jr. et al., Science, 166, 1019-1021 (1969).

6 Hardy, W. D., Jr, Hirshaut, Y., and Hess, P., in Unifying Concepts of Leukaemia (edit. by R. M., Dutcher, and L. Chieco-Bianchi), 778-799 (Karger, Basel, 1973).

7 Huebner, R. J., and Todaro, G. J., Proc. natn. Acad. Sci. U.S. 4, 64, 1087-1094.

Huebner, R. J., and Todaro, G. J., Proc. natn. Acad. Sci. U.S.A., 64, 1087-1094 (1969).
 Hoover, E. A., Kociba, G. J., Hardy, W. D., Jr, and Yohn, D. S., J. natn. Cancer Inst., 53, 1271-1276 (1974).
 Mackey, L., Jarrett, W., Jarrett, O., and Laird, H. M., J. natn. Cancer Inst., 54, 209-217 (1975).

Anderson, L. J., Jarrett, W. F., Jarrett, O., and Laird, H. M., *J. natn. Cancer Inst.*, 47, 807–817 (1971).
Herz, A., Theilen, G. H., Schalm, O. W., and Munn, R. J., *J. natn. Cancer Inst.*, 44, 339–348 (1970).
Cotto: S. M. Herdy, W. D. J. and J. Cotto.

44, 339-348 (1970).

12 Cotter, S. M., Hardy, W. D., Jr, and Essex, M., J. Am. vet. Med. Ass., 166, 449-454 (1975).

13 Hardy, W. D., Jr, et al., Cancer Res., 36, 318-324 (1976).

14 Essex, M., Sliski, A., Cotter, S. M., Jakcwski, R. M., and Hardy, W. D., Jr, Science, 190, 790-792 (1975).

15 Blood, D. C., and Henderson, J. A., Veterinary Medicine (Williams and Wilkins, Baltimore, 1963).

16 Hardy, W. D., Jr, McClelland, A. J., Hess, P. W., and MacEwen, E. G., J. Am. Animal Hosp. Ass., 10, 367-372 (1974).

17 Essex, M., Hardy, W. D., Jr, Cotter, S. M., Jakowski, R. M., and Sliski, A., Infect. Immun., 11, 470-475 (1975).